RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 0 4 2007

FREDERICK H. GRIBBELL, LLC

10250 ALLIANCE ROAD, SUITE 120 CINCINNATI, OHIO 45242 FAX COVER SHEET

FREDERICK GRIBBELL, LLC

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS SHEET:
TO: U.S. Patent and Trademork Office - Art Unit 2609
FAXNO.: (571) 273-8300
FROM: Frederick H. Gribbell TELEPHONE NO.: (513) 891-2100
DATE: June 4, 2007
CONFIRMING COPY: WILL FOLLOW; WILL NOT FOLLOW
THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FAX IS INTENDED FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEYS' WORK PRODUCT AND/OR EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT (OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT), YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US BY COLLECT TELEPHONE. SHOULD YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEMS RECEIVING THIS TRANSMISSION (OR HAVE INCORRECTLY RECEIVED THIS TRANSMISSION), PLEASE CALL (513) 891-2100.
ORIGINATING FAX NUMBER: (513) 891-2230

COMMENTS/MESSAGE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 0 4 2007

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Mark Joseph Edwards

Art Unit: 2609

Serial No.:

10/619,239

Examiner: Hilina Kassa

Filed:

July 14, 2003

For:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR RECORDING SOUND INFORMATION AND PLAYING SOUND INFORMATION BACK USING AN ALL-IN-ONE PRINTER

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION AND ELECTION OF CLAIMS REQUIREMENTS

Mail Stop Amendment COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In an Office Action mailed on May 21, 2007, the Examiner has imposed a restriction requirement as between six different species that essentially correspond to the independent claims. The species listed in the Office Action point to portions of the written description, on pages 5 through 7 of the application.

The Examiner has taken the position that these six species are for distinct inventions, and thus should be in separate patent applications. Applicant is now required to make an election as to which group of claims are to be substantively examined in the instant application.

-1-

Serial No.: 10/619,239

A

Attorney Docket: 2001-0941.01